Evaluating the Efficacy of Eco-Innovation Incentives in Stimulating Green Technology Adoption Within the Agricultural Sector: A Cross-Country Policy Review

Zainuddin Bin Yusof[1]

[1]Research Assistant at Malaysia University of Science and Technology

2025

Abstract

Eco-innovation incentives serve as critical policy tools for promoting the adoption of green technologies in the agricultural sector, an industry responsible for substantial global resource consumption and environmental impact. By encouraging sustainable practices and investing in research and development (R&D), governments and international organizations aim to reduce emissions, preserve biodiversity, and promote efficient use of natural resources. Despite the growing awareness of the importance of eco-innovation, the effectiveness of specific incentive mechanisms for driving green technology adoption remains insufficiently understood, particularly when examining different national contexts with varying economic, socio-political, and climatic conditions.This paper explores how incentive structures—including subsidies, tax credits, and regulatory frameworks—can influence farmer decision-making and technology diffusion. It further considers the interactions among these factors, highlighting how adaptive policies and supportive institutional environments accelerate the transition to low-impact agricultural practices. By examining multiple cross-country approaches, this research identifies best practices for aligning eco-innovation with agricultural policy objectives. Linear algebraic methodologies and theoretical models are applied to quantify adoption rates and to capture the complex interactions among policy variables, economic outcomes, and environmental indicators. The insights gained from this analysis inform both policymakers and stakeholders about designing more resilient and context-specific incentives, ultimately driving a global shift toward sustainable agricultural systems.

1 Introduction

The global agricultural sector faces a confluence of pressing challenges, including climate change, resource scarcity, biodiversity loss, and a growing population demanding reliable food supplies. These challenges urge policymakers, researchers, and agricultural practitioners to seek innovations that minimize negative environmental impacts while maintaining or increasing productivity. In recent years, attention has shifted toward eco-innovation as a pathway to reconcile these often competing objectives. Eco-innovation, broadly defined as the development and application of products, processes, and organizational methods that lead to reduced environmental burdens, provides an opportunity to fundamentally transform conventional agricultural practices.

Yet, the adoption of green technologies within the agricultural sector remains uneven across different geographies, largely due to heterogeneous policy environments, financial constraints, and varying cultural attitudes toward sustainability. Governments worldwide have implemented a diverse array of eco-innovation incentives—ranging from subsidies for purchasing energy-efficient machinery to tax breaks for research and development (R&D) in sustainable crop varieties—to encourage broader integration of environmentally friendly practices. The rationale behind these incentives stems from market failures; without intervention, farmers may underinvest in solutions that yield substantial public goods, such as lower greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and soil conservation.

Despite the proliferation of eco-innovation programs, questions persist about the actual efficacy of these incentives in driving meaningful shifts in agricultural systems. How do different policy mechanisms, whether financial or regulatory, interact with localized conditions to influence technology adoption? Can linear algebra-based models help quantify the relative impact of incentives on environmental outcomes? How do cross-country differences in political and economic structures shape both the formation and effectiveness of eco-innovation policies?

Against this backdrop, the objective of this paper is to evaluate the efficacy of eco-innovation incentives in stimulating green technology adoption within the agricultural sector, with emphasis on cross-country comparisons.

The ensuing sections address: (1) the conceptual framework underpinning eco-innovation; (2) mechanisms through which policy instruments operate; (3) cross-country policy comparisons to highlight best practices; and (4) quantitative modeling approaches, incorporating linear algebraic methods, to dissect complex interactions among policy, technology, and environmental performance indicators. By examining real-world policy frameworks and potential theoretical underpinnings, this research offers guidance for designing robust, context-sensitive strategies that expedite the global transition to sustainable agricultural practices[1].

2 Fundamentals of Eco-Innovation in Agriculture

Eco-innovation in the agricultural sector hinges on strategies that minimize environmental externalities while maintaining or increasing yields to meet consumer demand. The concept encompasses a wide range of practices, including precision agriculture, renewable energy integration (e.g., solar-powered irrigation), organic farming techniques, and the cultivation of genetically enhanced crop varieties that require fewer chemical inputs. Each of these innovations can have cascading environmental benefits, such as reduced chemical runoff, improved biodiversity, and lower greenhouse gas emissions.

2.1 Defining Eco-Innovation in Agricultural Contexts

Eco-innovation has been variously defined across disciplines, from engineering to policy studies. In an agricultural context, it may be understood as any systemic or incremental change that leads to improvements in sustainability metrics—such as water usage efficiency, carbon sequestration potential, or nitrogen application rates—without compromising economic viability. In many instances, eco-innovation also encompasses a learning dimension, wherein farmers, extension officers, and researchers collaboratively refine practices to align with ecological realities. This interactive process underscores the importance of knowledge transfer and capacity-building programs supported by government incentives[2].

A key tenet of eco-innovation is the notion of life-cycle thinking, wherein agricultural technologies and processes are assessed for their entire environmental footprint—from raw material extraction to disposal of waste. For example, a novel bio-based pesticide may be lauded for reducing toxicity levels on farmland, but a complete evaluation also must consider its production, transportation, and eventual breakdown in the soil. Understanding this holistic perspective is essential for designing policies that promote truly sustainable solutions rather than shifting ecological burdens to different phases of production.

2.2 Drivers of Eco-Innovation

Multiple drivers intersect to catalyze eco-innovation in agriculture. These drivers include heightened consumer awareness of sustainability, private sector competition, and proactive policy initiatives. Increasingly, agricultural producers face market pressures to demonstrate responsible environmental stewardship, spurred by consumer demand for ethically sourced products and retailer-led sustainability standards. Simultaneously, seed companies, agritech firms, and equipment manufacturers are in a race to produce next-generation solutions that address water scarcity, soil degradation, and the rising cost of chemical inputs.

Public policy plays a pivotal role in modulating these drivers. For instance, direct financial incentives—such as subsidies for drip irrigation systems—can alter farmers' calculus on capital investments. Regulatory measures—like restrictions on certain fertilizers—can drive a rapid pivot toward alternative solutions. In addition, macro-economic factors such as international trade agreements may compel producers to adopt eco-innovations to meet import standards in foreign markets[3].

2.3 Eco-Innovation Typologies and Policy Interactions

Eco-innovation typologies can be categorized broadly into product, process, organizational, and system innovations. In agriculture, product innovations might include drought-resistant seed varieties, while process innovations might entail precision fertilization techniques that optimize nutrient application based on satellite data. Organizational innovations could revolve around cooperative farming structures that enable resource sharing, whereas system innovations encompass a holistic redesign of food production and distribution networks to reduce overall ecological footprints.

Policy incentives can differentially affect these innovation types. For example, R&D tax credits typically stimulate product and process innovations by reducing the financial risk associated with experimentation. Conversely, capacity-building grants and extension services may be more influential in promoting organizational and system innovations, as they focus on knowledge-sharing and collaborative approaches. The alignment—or misalignment—between policy incentives and the nature of eco-innovation has far-reaching implications for adoption rates, underscoring the importance of a nuanced policy design[4][5].

2.4 Socio-Economic and Environmental Impacts of Adoption

Eco-innovation can deliver multifaceted socio-economic and environmental benefits, provided that enabling conditions are met. On one hand, improved efficiency in resource use often leads to reduced operational costs, which can make farms more resilient to market volatilities. On the other hand, practices that enhance soil health or conserve water can insulate farmers from the adverse effects of climate change, such as droughts or floods. Beyond the farm gate, the adoption of eco-innovation can spur local economic growth through job creation in supporting sectors, such as green technology manufacturing and rural advisory services.

From an environmental standpoint, successful adoption translates into tangible reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, as well as improved soil fertility and biodiversity. However, the magnitude of these impacts hinges on the scale and pace of adoption. Policies that fail to address market barriers—like high upfront costs or limited access to credit—may delay or hinder widespread implementation. Thus, evaluating the effectiveness of eco-innovation incentives requires a thorough examination of both micro-level adoption decisions and macro-level policy frameworks.

3 Eco-Innovation Incentive Mechanisms and Their Economic Underpinnings

Designing incentives to stimulate eco-innovation in agriculture involves balancing diverse objectives, including economic competitiveness, environmental protection, and equitable resource distribution. Policymakers often turn to instruments such as subsidies, grants, tax credits, and regulatory mandates, each with distinct economic underpinnings that inform their suitability for achieving targeted outcomes.

3.1 Direct Financial Subsidies and Grants

Direct financial support is one of the most straightforward mechanisms to lower the cost barriers associated with technology adoption. Subsidies or grants can target specific technologies—for instance, a national government might offer a fixed percentage cost-share on solar-powered irrigation pumps. This approach reduces the initial capital outlay for farmers, making previously unaffordable technologies more accessible.

From a theoretical standpoint, these types of incentives correct for positive externalities. Since improved environmental outcomes (e.g., reduced emissions, enhanced ecosystem services) often benefit society at large, the private sector alone may underinvest in such technologies. By subsidizing part of the cost, governments effectively internalize these externalities, aligning private returns more closely with social returns. However, critics point to potential distortions in resource allocation and the difficulty of phasing out subsidies once entrenched interests form around them.

3.2 Tax Incentives and Depreciation Allowances

Tax-based incentives are another popular tool for encouraging eco-innovation. These can take the form of accelerated depreciation on eco-innovative capital equipment, or tax deductions proportional to R&D expenditures in sustainable agricultural methods. By reducing the net cost of investment in green technologies, tax incentives can spur private sector innovation while also granting flexibility for firms or farmers to choose the best technologies for their unique conditions[6].

A hallmark of tax incentives is that they are often administratively simpler to implement than direct subsidy programs. However, their effectiveness can be diluted if the target population has limited taxable income—in which case, the incentive provides negligible financial relief. This issue may be pronounced in developing countries where a large share of the agricultural sector operates informally, making direct fiscal incentives more challenging to administer.

3.3 Regulatory Standards and Market-Based Mechanisms

Regulatory approaches mandate compliance with specific environmental performance criteria, such as maximum allowable nitrogen runoff or minimum energy efficiency standards for agricultural equipment. While regulations can be effective in setting minimum baselines, they also risk discouraging experimentation if they are too restrictive or if compliance costs become prohibitive for smaller operations.

In tandem with regulations, market-based mechanisms like carbon pricing or tradable permits can incentivize eco-innovation by assigning a cost to environmental externalities. By putting a price on carbon or other pollutants, these instruments shift farmers' cost-benefit calculations, making eco-friendly practices more competitive. The revenue from such schemes can be reinvested in agricultural R&D or used to offer rebates for environmentally sustainable technologies. Nonetheless, designing equitable market-based policies requires careful consideration of region-specific variables, such as the availability of alternative production methods and the capacity of farmers to adapt[7].

3.4 Information and Extension Services

While financial incentives and regulations shape the economic calculus, information asymmetries can still impede technology adoption. Many farmers lack the necessary technical knowledge or confidence to evaluate eco-innovative solutions. Extension services, farmer field schools, and demonstration sites help bridge this knowledge gap by offering educational resources and practical demonstrations of new technologies under local conditions.

Well-functioning extension networks can amplify the impact of other incentives. For instance, a subsidy for precision irrigation is far more effective if potential adopters fully understand how to operate and maintain the required equipment. Equally important is the role of peer influence; farmers are often more inclined to adopt new practices when they see their neighbors benefiting. These dynamics highlight why incentives must be complemented by robust information dissemination strategies to maximize impact.

3.5 Economic Theories Guiding Incentive Design

The conceptual basis for these policy mechanisms is rooted in welfare economics, particularly the notion of correcting market failures associated with public goods and externalities. Standard marginal analysis suggests that, absent policy intervention, the equilibrium level of eco-innovation in agriculture falls below the socially optimal level. Through carefully calibrated instruments—such as subsidies that shift the marginal cost of adoption—governments aim to push the system closer to this optimal point[8].

However, designing incentives purely based on marginal analyses can overlook issues of path dependence, technology lock-in, and behavioral biases. Farmers may exhibit risk aversion or favor short-term returns over long-term gains in soil health. Consequently, economists have integrated concepts from behavioral economics and innovation theory, emphasizing the need for iterative policy approaches that adapt to evolving technologies and local contexts. In practice, policymakers often employ a mix of these instruments, seeking synergy between market-based incentives, direct financial support, regulatory frameworks, and education campaigns.

4 Cross-Country Policy Comparisons for Green Technology Adoption

Evaluating eco-innovation incentives across different national contexts illuminates patterns of success and identifies obstacles that may not be apparent in a single-country analysis. This section provides a comparative lens, discussing how diverse economic, environmental, and institutional conditions shape the design and efficacy of incentives for green technology adoption in the agricultural sector. Rather than focusing on specific country cases in detail, the emphasis remains on the broader patterns and lessons learned.

4.1 Institutional Structures and Governance Dynamics

Institutional quality plays a pivotal role in shaping the success or failure of eco-innovation incentives. In countries with well-established regulatory bodies and transparent governance, it is often easier to enforce environmental standards and administer subsidies without leakage or corruption. These nations also tend to have robust data collection mechanisms, improving the evaluation of program impacts. Conversely, regions with weaker governance structures may struggle to implement even well-intentioned policies effectively, due to bureaucratic inefficiencies or political rent-seeking[9].

Additionally, the level of decentralization can influence how incentives are deployed. In highly centralized nations, policy directives and funding tend to flow from the central government downward, which can streamline nationwide adoption but may miss regional nuances. Decentralized systems, by contrast, grant local authorities greater autonomy to tailor policies to specific agro-ecological conditions. However, this also introduces variability in implementation quality across regions.

4.2 Economic Development Levels and Funding Capacities

Differences in per capita income and overall government budgetary capacity can profoundly affect the scope of eco-innovation programs. Wealthier nations may offer more generous subsidies or tax incentives, accelerating the diffusion of cutting-edge technologies like drones for precision farming or gene-editing techniques for pest resistance. Less affluent nations, constrained by tighter fiscal space, might prioritize lower-cost approaches—such as farmer training or community seed banks—over capital-intensive solutions.

This disparity does not necessarily imply that lower-income countries lag in sustainability. In fact, resource constraints can catalyze frugal innovation, where low-tech or intermediate-tech solutions are optimized for local conditions. Examples include solar water pumps assembled from locally sourced materials or the integration of traditional ecological knowledge into modern farming practices. Nonetheless, limited access to credit and insurance often stymies the risk-taking required for experimenting with newer technologies.

4.3 Climatic and Agro-Ecological Variations

Agricultural eco-innovation policies must also account for climatic differences. Countries prone to prolonged droughts may place a premium on water-saving technologies, offering targeted incentives for rainwater harvesting, drip irrigation, or drought-resistant crop varieties. Conversely, regions with abundant rainfall might focus on innovations that manage excess water, such as improved drainage systems or flood-resilient crop genetics.

Soil quality and biodiversity levels further shape policy priorities. Nations aiming to conserve fragile ecosystems—such as tropical rainforests—may impose stringent land-use regulations alongside eco-innovation incentives. Others, confronted with widespread soil degradation, might channel funds into soil revitalization programs that couple reduced-tillage practices with the adoption of cover crops. These variations underscore the importance of context-specific strategies, as a one-size-fits-all approach risks producing suboptimal or even counterproductive outcomes[10].

4.4 Knowledge Transfer Mechanisms and Transnational Cooperation

International collaboration can play a significant role in scaling eco-innovation across borders. Institutions such as regional economic communities or multinational development agencies often encourage knowledge transfer, joint R&D projects, and harmonized regulatory standards. By pooling resources, countries can lower the financial burden of research, expand their talent pools, and accelerate technology dissemination.

However, transnational initiatives also face coordination challenges. Differences in language, legal systems, and cultural norms can hinder the consistent application of harmonized standards. Intellectual property disputes may arise when multiple stakeholders contribute to shared R&D ventures. Overcoming these obstacles requires sustained political will and well-designed frameworks for intellectual property governance, cost-sharing, and dispute resolution.

4.5 Emerging Patterns and Implications

Several overarching patterns emerge from cross-country comparisons. First, the interplay between institutional quality and economic resources is a major determinant of incentive efficacy. Well-funded programs in countries with strong governance structures typically achieve higher adoption rates. Second, climatic and ecological differences necessitate customized policy portfolios rather than blanket solutions. Third, transnational collaboration offers opportunities for leveraging economies of scale in research and capacity-building but requires careful institutional arrangements.

These observations highlight the need for adaptive policy design, wherein incentives are continually refined based on feedback and empirical data. While straightforward policy replication across national borders is seldom feasible, cross-country learning remains invaluable for identifying best practices. For instance, a successful subsidy program in one country may inform another's approach, provided adjustments are made for local economic and ecological conditions[11].

5 Quantitative Analysis and Theoretical Modeling Approaches

To rigorously evaluate the effectiveness of eco-innovation incentives, researchers and policymakers employ a variety of quantitative models and analytical techniques. In this section, we highlight methodological frameworks—ranging from linear algebraic methods to non-linear simulation models—that facilitate a deeper understanding of how different incentive structures influence green technology adoption in agriculture.

5.1 Linear Algebraic Approaches for Policy Impact Estimation

Linear algebraic formulations offer a structured means to model multiple policy interventions and their effects on key variables such as technology adoption rates, environmental quality indices, and farm income. For example, suppose we represent the adoption of different green technologies by a vector $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$, where each component x_i denotes the percentage of farms adopting a particular innovation i. Similarly, we can define a policy vector $\mathbf{p} \in R^m$ capturing the intensity of various incentives (e.g., subsidy rates, tax credits, or regulatory stringency).

We can conceptualize a system of linear equations of the form:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{p}),$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is a coefficient matrix representing the relationships between different technologies (e.g., complementarities or competition), and $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{p})$ is a function of $\mathbf{p}$ encoding how incentives shift demand or reduce barriers. By analyzing the rank and invertibility of $\mathbf{A}$, we can assess whether unique solutions exist for $\mathbf{x}$ under different policy configurations. Sensitivity analysis, in this context, involves perturbing $\mathbf{p}$ and examining resultant changes in $\mathbf{x}$.

Another relevant application of linear algebra is in the context of partial equilibrium models, where the agricultural sector is represented by supply and demand curves for eco-innovative goods. Matrix methods can solve for equilibrium points under varying policy scenarios, shedding light on how subsidies or taxes might alter adoption and output levels. While such linear approaches may oversimplify some non-linear dynamics—such as threshold effects or behavioral biases—they provide a transparent baseline for policy simulations.

5.2 Regression Analyses and Econometric Modeling

Beyond pure linear algebra, econometric techniques allow for a more granular examination of causality and correlation between policy incentives and adoption rates. Panel data regressions can exploit temporal and cross-sectional variation to isolate the impact of incentives. For instance, a difference-in-differences approach might compare adoption trends in regions with policy interventions to those without, controlling for confounding variables like farm size or market prices.

At a more advanced level, structural econometric models integrate microeconomic decision-making frameworks, positing that farmers maximize expected utility or profit subject to constraints. Parameters are estimated using maximum likelihood or Bayesian methods, yielding insights into how policy changes shift decision frontiers. These models can capture heterogeneity in farmer risk preferences and capital constraints, thereby providing a more nuanced view than aggregate linear models alone.

5.3 Systems Dynamics and Agent-Based Models

Systems dynamics (SD) modeling offers a holistic perspective on how incentive policies affect agricultural systems over time. Utilizing feedback loops, stock-flow diagrams, and non-linear differential equations, SD models can capture lags and cumulative effects—like how early adopters influence later adopters through demonstration effects. For example, an SD model might track changes in soil fertility and water availability as stocks, with flows determined by technology adoption and environmental feedback. Incentive policies are introduced as exogenous parameters that accelerate or decelerate adoption.

Agent-based models (ABMs) offer an even more granular lens, simulating individual farms as agents with varying goals, resource endowments, and social networks. Each agent's decision to adopt a particular eco-innovation is influenced by factors like peer pressure, profitability, or extension officer visits. Over multiple iterations, emergent patterns of adoption can reveal tipping points or lock-in effects. ABMs can incorporate linear algebraic components (e.g., adjacency matrices to model social networks), making them a flexible tool for complex scenario analysis.[12]

5.4 Incorporating Environmental Impact Metrics

The efficacy of eco-innovation incentives cannot be measured by adoption rates alone; environmental impact metrics such as carbon emissions, water usage, and biodiversity indices must also be integrated. One approach is to link adoption models with environmental sub-models. For instance, if each technology has a known emissions reduction factor, we can estimate total GHG savings by multiplying adoption levels by these factors. Mathematically, if $\mathbf{e}$ is a vector where e_i denotes the emissions reduction per farm from technology i, then total emissions reduction E can be computed as:

$$E = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{e} \cdot \alpha,$$

where α is a scale factor representing the number of farms in the system. Similar formulations can be applied to water savings or biodiversity scores.

In a multi-objective optimization framework, policymakers may aim to maximize an environmental index while minimizing the cost of incentives. This can be formulated as:

$$\max_{\mathbf{p}} \quad \Lambda(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p})) - \Gamma(\mathbf{p}),$$

where $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p}))$ captures an aggregate environmental benefit as a function of adoption, and $\Gamma(\mathbf{p})$ represents the total cost of implementing incentives. Techniques from operations research, such as linear or non-linear programming, can then be employed to find an optimal policy mix.

5.5 Challenges in Modeling and Future Directions

Quantitative analyses of eco-innovation incentive efficacy face several challenges. Data availability remains a frequent bottleneck, particularly for cross-country studies. While remote sensing and open-source data initiatives have alleviated some constraints, consistent and high-quality datasets on farmer adoption, policy variables, and environmental indicators are still lacking in many regions. This scarcity complicates econometric analyses and model validation.

Another challenge is the inherent uncertainty in technological change. Some emerging eco-innovations may become mainstream more quickly than anticipated, while others fail to gain traction despite initial promise. Models that incorporate stochastic elements or real options theory can help account for this uncertainty, but such approaches are complex and demand extensive computational resources. Lastly, heterogeneity in farmer behavior, which can be shaped by cultural norms and risk preferences, poses an ongoing puzzle. Future research may benefit from interdisciplinary methods that merge economic modeling with psychological and sociological insights[13],[14].

Despite these obstacles, the synergy between linear algebraic methods, econometric modeling, systems dynamics, and ABMs offers a comprehensive toolkit for understanding and optimizing eco-innovation incentives. As data collection and computational techniques continue to evolve, modeling approaches will become increasingly robust, providing clearer guidance on how to design and implement policies that accelerate sustainable agriculture on a global scale[15].

6 Conclusion

Eco-innovation incentives are crucial drivers for the transformative shift toward sustainable agricultural systems. This paper has provided an in-depth analysis of the interplay between various policy mechanisms—subsidies, tax incentives, regulatory frameworks, and information services—and the adoption of green technologies across diverse geographic and socioeconomic settings. The discussion highlighted the multifaceted nature of eco-innovation, underscoring the importance of tailoring incentive structures to local climatic conditions, resource endowments, and institutional capacities.

By examining policy instruments through both conceptual and quantitative lenses, the paper illuminates the core principles that enhance the efficacy of eco-innovation incentives. On one hand, economic theories of externalities, public goods, and behavioral biases point to the necessity of corrective measures that align private returns with public benefits. On the other hand, cross-country comparisons reveal that policy success is neither guaranteed by higher funding levels alone nor thwarted by limited fiscal capacities; rather, transparent governance, robust knowledge transfer, and context-sensitive design often exert the most significant influence on adoption rates.

Linear algebraic methods and more advanced modeling approaches—such as systems dynamics and agent-based simulations—provide valuable frameworks for evaluating policy scenarios, quantifying environmental benefits, and anticipating potential unintended consequences. These methods underscore the complexity and interdependency of agricultural systems, showing that incentives must be adaptive, evolving in response to technological progress, environmental feedback, and shifts in market conditions.

Maximizing the impact of eco-innovation incentives demands a cohesive, integrated strategy. Policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders must work collaboratively, drawing on a variety of analytical tools and empirical insights to refine and adapt programs over time. Only through such iterative, evidence-based processes can societies achieve the long-term environmental, economic, and social dividends that eco-innovation promises for the agricultural sector worldwide.

References

[1] K. Y. Chau, C.-H. Lin, B. Tufail, *et al.*, "Impact of eco-innovation and sustainable tourism growth on the environmental degradation: The case of china," *Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja*, vol. 36, no. 3, 2023.

[2] H. Zhang, K. A. Khan, B. S. Eweade, and T. S. Adebayo, "Role of eco-innovation and financial globalization on ecological quality in china: A wavelet analysis," *Energy & Environment*, p. 0958305X241228518, 2024.

[3] A. Colombelli, J. Krafft, and F. Quatraro, "Firms' growth, green gazelles and eco-innovation: Evidence from a sample of european firms," *Small Business Economics*, vol. 56, pp. 1721–1738, 2021.

[4] A. Asthana, *Water: Perspectives, issues, concerns.* 2003.

[5] Z. Wang, F. Sami, S. Khan, A. M. Alamri, and A. M. Zaidan, "Green innovation and low carbon emission in oecd economies: Sustainable energy technology role in carbon neutrality target," *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, vol. 59, p. 103 401, 2023.

[6] Z.-l. Teng, C. Guo, Q. Zhao, and M. S. Mubarik, "Antecedents of green process innovation adoption: An ahp analysis of china's gas sector," *Resources Policy*, vol. 85, p. 103 959, 2023.

[7] A. N. Asthana, "Who do we trust for antitrust? deconstructing structural io," *World Applied Sciences Journal*, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 1367–1372, 2013.

[8] B. Sezen and S. Y. Cankaya, "Effects of green manufacturing and eco-innovation on sustainability performance," *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 99, pp. 154–163, 2013.

[9] S. A. Rehman Khan, Z. Ahmad, A. A. Sheikh, and Z. Yu, "Digital transformation, smart technologies, and eco-innovation are paving the way toward sustainable supply chain performance," *Science Progress*, vol. 105, no. 4, p. 00 368 504 221 145 648, 2022.

[10] J. Jesic, A. Okanovic, and A. A. Panic, "Net zero 2050 as an eu priroty: Modeling a system for efficient investments in eco innovation for climate change mitigation," *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, vol. 11, pp. 1–16, 2021.

[11] A. Asthana and D. Tavželj, "International business education through an intergovernmental organisation," *Journal of International Business Education*, vol. 17, pp. 247–266, 2022.

[12] A. Frigon, D. Doloreux, and R. Shearmur, "Drivers of eco-innovation and conventional innovation in the canadian wine industry," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 275, p. 124 115, 2020.

[13] A. N. Asthana and N. Charan, "How fair is fair trade in fisheries?" *Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences*, pp. 205–213, 2023.

[14] P. Demirel and E. Kesidou, "Sustainability-oriented capabilities for eco-innovation: Meeting the regulatory, technology, and market demands," *Business Strategy and the Environment*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 847–857, 2019.

[15] V. Costantini, F. Crespi, and A. Palma, "Characterizing the policy mix and its impact on eco-innovation: A patent analysis of energy-efficient technologies," *Research policy*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 799–819, 2017.